arXiv:1402.4569v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 19 Feb 2014

Strong Interaction Effects in Superfluid Ising Quantum Phase Transition

Wei Zheng,[1] Boyang Liu,[1] Jiao Miao,[1] Cheng Chin,[2] and Hui Zhai[1,*]

[1]*Institute for Advanced Study, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China*
[2]*James Franck Institute, Enrico Fermi Institute and Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, 60637, USA*

(Dated: February 20, 2014)

We study the quantum phase transition between a normal Bose superfluid to one that breaks additional Z_2 Ising symmetry. Using the recent shaken optical lattice experiment as an example, we first show that at mean-field level atomic interaction can significantly shift the critical point. Near the critical point, bosons can condense into a momentum state with high or even locally maximum kinetic energies due to interaction effect. Then, we present a general low-energy effective field theory that treats both the superfluid transition and the Ising transition in a uniform framework, and identify a quantum tricritical point separating normal superfluid, Z_2 superfluid and Mott insulator. Using perturbative renormalization group method, we find that the quantum phase transition belongs to a unique universality class that is different from that of a dilute Bose gas.

Critical phenomena lie in the center of modern many-body physics. Near the phase transition, the many-body system can develop universal and unconventional behaviors. Two of the most paradigmatic phase transitions are Ising transition and superfluid transition. Across an Ising transition a discrete Z_2 symmetry is spontaneously broken, while a $U(1)$ gauge symmetry is spontaneously broken across a superfluid transition. If a system can exhibit phase transitions of both two types of symmetry breaking, their interplay can lead to novel critical phenomena. Such system is not known in real materials, to the best of our knowledge, but has been recently demonstrated in cold atom systems.

So far there are at least three approaches to realize such a transition in cold atom experiments: a) Bose condensates with spin-orbit coupling induced by Raman transitions, where the transition is driven by changing the Raman coupling strength [1, 2]; b) Bose condensates in an optical lattice with staggered magnetic field, where the transition is driven by changing the ratio of two hopping amplitudes along two different spatial directions [3]; and c) Bose condensates in a shaking optical lattice, where the transition is driven by tuning the shaking frequency [4] or shaking amplitude [5].

These systems share the following common feature. Let us consider a single particle energy-momentum dispersion along one spatial direction, say, $\epsilon(k_x)$ along $\hat{x}$. As schematically illustrated in Fig. 1, initially, $\epsilon(k_x)$ is a quadratic function around its unique minimum at $k_x = 0$. In this regime, bosons condense into $k_x = 0$ state and form a normal superfluid. As one changes a tunable parameter, at a critical point, $\epsilon(k_x)$ becomes a quartic function around $k_x = 0$ and across this point, $\epsilon(k_x)$ will display two degenerate minima at $k_\pm$. In this regime, without loss of generality, one should assume the condensate wave function as a superposition of $\varphi(k_+)$ and $\varphi(k_-)$, and it is up to the interaction between bosons to determine the superposition coefficients. There exist a class of systems where with weak interaction the condensate wave function will favor either purely $\varphi(k_+)$ or purely $\varphi(k_-)$, and therefore the superfluid will break the Z_2 symmetry.



FIG. 1: Schematic of single-particle dispersion $\epsilon(k_x)$, changing from single minimum to double minima. An Ising type Z_2 quantum phase transition can be driven by shaking a lattice above a critical amplitude f_c^0.

To bring out the novel physics of this quantum phase transition, in this letter we show that interactions can strongly modify the above picture. Our methods include both mean-field theory and a low-energy effective theory approach. This effective theory also allows us to treat both $U(1)$ and Z_2 symmetry breaking in a uniform framework and beyond mean-field level by perturbative renormalization group method. With these two methods, we have reached the following two results:

1) The location of the normal superfluid (SF) to superfluid that breaks an additional Z_2 symmetry (Z_2 SF) quantum critical point has a strong dependence on the interaction between particles. Bosons can condense to momentum state with high or locally maximum kinetic energy near the quantum critical point.

2) There exists a quantum tricritical point between Mott insulator (MI), SF and Z_2 SF phases. Interactions between atoms dictate the universal behavior and yield new universal critical exponents.

Shaken Lattice Model. Here we first introduce the shaking lattice model which represents a concrete realization of the superfluid Ising transition [5]. As one time-periodically modulates the relative phase θ between

two counter-propagating lasers, it will result in a time-dependent lattice potential [6, 7]

$$H(t)=\frac{\hat{k}_x^2}{2m}+V\cos^2\left(k_0x+\frac{\theta\left(t\right)}{2}\right), \tag{1}$$

where $\theta(t)=f\cos\left(\omega t\right)$, and f is the shaking amplitude, $\Delta x=f/(2k_0)$ is the maximum lattice displacement. By employing the Bessel function expansion, the lattice potential can be expressed as

$$\frac{V}{2}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}i^nJ_n(f)\frac{e^{i2k_0x}+(-1)^ne^{-i2k_0x}}{2}e^{in\omega t}. \tag{2}$$

The $n=0$ term gives rise to a static lattice potential $VJ_0\left(f\right)\cos^2(k_0x)$, which gives a static band structure $\varepsilon_\lambda\left(k_x\right)$ and the Bloch wave function $\varphi_{\lambda,k_x}(x)$. (λ is the band index and k_x is the quasi-momentum.)

Denoting Δ as the separation between bottom of s-band and top of p-band, we consider the experimental situation $\omega\gtrsim\Delta$, as shown in Fig. 2(a). Here we only need to keep the most dominant $n=\pm1$ processes in Eq. 2 and only s- and p_x- bands, since all $|n|>1$ processes and higher bands will be generically off-resonance [8]. The time-dependent potential is now given by $V(t)=-VJ_1\left(f\right)\sin\left(2k_0x\right)\cos\left(\omega t\right)$, which couples s- and p_x-bands. In the two-band bases, and upon a rotating wave approximation, it is straightforward to show that the eigen-energies are given by

$$\epsilon_\pm\left(k_x\right)=A_{k_x}/2\pm\sqrt{\Delta_{k_x}^2/4+\left|\Omega_{k_x}\right|^2}, \tag{3}$$

where $\Omega_{k_x}=-VJ_1\left(f\right)\left\langle\varphi_{p,k_x}\right|\sin\left(2k_0x\right)\left|\varphi_{s,k_x}\right\rangle/2$, $A_{k_x}=\varepsilon_p\left(k_x\right)+\varepsilon_s\left(k_x\right)+\omega$, $\Delta_{k_x}=\varepsilon_p\left(k_x\right)-\varepsilon_s\left(k_x\right)-\omega$.



FIG. 2: Band structure of a shaken lattice. (a) Band structure before shaking. The red solid line on the top is the dressed s-band with energy shifted by a phonon energy $\hbar\omega$. (b) Solid line is the dispersion for small shaking amplitude $f<f_c^0$ and dashed line is the dispersion for large shaking amplitude $f>f_c^0$. Energy is plotted in unit of lattice recoil energy $E_r=\hbar^2k_0^2/(2m)$

Two eigen wave functions are denoted by $\varphi_{+,k_x}(x)$ and $\varphi_{-,k_x}(x)$, respectively.

In experiment, if one adiabatically turns on the shaking, bosons will remain in the $\epsilon_+(k_x)$ band since it is adiabatically connected to the s-band as $f\to0$. We show in Fig. 2(b) that there exists a critical shaking amplitude f_c^0, across which $\epsilon_+(k_x)$ exhibits a transition from single minimum at zero-momentum to double minima at finite momentum $\pm k_{\min}$. For $f>f_c^0$, without loss of generality, we can assume the condensate wave function to be a linear superposition as $\Psi(x)=\sin\alpha\psi_{+,k_{\min}}(x)+\cos\alpha\psi_{+,-k_{\min}}(x)$. Whereas in this case the interaction energy is always minimized by choosing α equalling to zero or $\pi/2$ [9]. That is to say, the condensate will break the Z_2 symmetry across f_c^0. In fact, such a transition, as well as domain wall formation in the symmetry breaking phase, has been observed in a recent experiment [5].

Quantum Critical Point in an Interacting System. Since now bosons all condense in a single momentum state, at mean-field level the interaction energy can be simplified as [10]

$$\epsilon_{\rm int}(k_x)=U_{k_x}^{ss}n_{s,k_x}^2+4U_{k_x}^{sp}n_{s,k_x}n_{p,k_x}+U_{k_x}^{pp}n_{p,k_x}^2, \tag{4}$$

where $U_{k_x}^{\lambda'\lambda}=g\int dx|\varphi_{\lambda',k_x}|^2|\varphi_{\lambda,k_x}|^2$, g is the interaction constant, λ and λ' denote s or p_x. With Eq. 3 and Eq. 4, the total energy of condensate is written as $\epsilon(k_x)=\epsilon_+(k_x)+\epsilon_{\rm int}(k_x)$. By minimizing $\epsilon(k_x)$ with respect to



FIG. 3: Interaction shifts of SF-Z_2 SF quantum critical point. (a,c) Deep lattice with $V/E_r=16$ and $\hbar\omega/E_r=7.1$; (b,d) Shallow lattice with $V/E_r=4$ and $\hbar\omega/E_r=4.4$. (a and b) Condensate momentum k_c as a function of f. Blue dashed line is for non-interacting and red solid line is for interacting case with $gn/E_r=1$. (c and d) Interaction(gn)-shaking amplitude(f) phase diagram for a fixed frequency ω. Blue shaded areas show regions where atoms condense to states with finite kinetic energy.



FIG. 4: Tricritical point of interacting bosons in a shaken lattice. Three phases are Mott insulator phase(MI), normal superfluid (SF) phase and superfluid phase that breaks Z_2 symmetry (Z_2 SF). g_c defines critical interaction strength for normal superfluid to Mott insulator transition, and f_c^0 is the critical shaking amplitude for single particle dispersion.

k_x, one can determine the condensate momentum k_c, and further determine the critical point f_c for the superfluid Ising transition when k_c changes from zero to finite.

Our findings is shown in Fig. 3. Because the mixing between s- and p_x-band is stronger for smaller momentum. For deep lattice in the tight binding limit (Fig. 3(a) and (c)), the dominant contribution to $U_{k_x}^{\lambda'\lambda}$ is the onsite interaction of localized Wannier states. Since the Wannier wave function for p_x-band is more extended, the repulsive interaction energy has a minimum at $k_x = 0$. Therefore, in the shaded area of Fig. 3(b), even when the kinetic energy already exhibits double-minimum, by including interaction energy the total energy still possesses a unique minimum at zero-momentum. In another word, in this regime, bosons are condensed into the local maximum of single particle kinetic energy, as shown in Fig. 3(c).

In contrast, for shallow lattice where the Bloch wave function behaves like plane waves, around $k_x \approx 0$, for s-band the Bloch wave function is nearly a constant, whereas for p_x-band the Bloch wave function behaves like $\sin(2k_0x)$, which has stronger spatial modulation. Thus, the repulsive interaction is enhanced as p_x-component increases, and the interaction energy displays a local maximum at zero-momentum. Therefore, in the shaded area of Fig. 3(d), bosons are condensed into finite momentum state which is not kinetic energy minimum state. As opposite to the tight binding limit, f_c decreases as repulsive interaction increases.

This phenomenon is quite intriguing since it invalids the conventional notion that bosons always condense into its single particle energy minimum. This happens when the self-energy correction due to interactions has strong momentum dependence. So far this effect has been discussed by Li *et al* in spin-orbit coupling system (system (a)) [11]. Whereas in system (a) this shift is relatively weak because the interaction constants between different spin states are very close, in particular, for ^{87}Rb atoms [11]. In the system of shaking lattice, this is due to the difference of interaction constants between different bands, such as $U_{k_x}^{ss}$, $U_{k_x}^{sp}$ and $U_{k_x}^{pp}$, and their difference is very large because of the different behaviors of Bloch wave functions. Therefore this effect is much more profound and is much easier to be observed experimentally.

Effective Theory Approach. Next we shall go beyond the microscopic theory and present a general low-energy effective theory to describe both the superfluid and Ising transition. This effective field theory should capture two key ingredients from microscopic physics as discussed above: i) the kinetic energy expanded in term of small k_x is given by $ak_x^2 + bk_x^4$ where a can change sign; and ii) the interaction term contains both a constant term and a term proportional to k_x^2. Considering a d-dimensional lattice with modulation along x-direction only, its partition function is given by

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}[\phi^*, \phi] \exp\{\mathcal{S}[\phi^*, \phi]\} \tag{5}$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^d\mathbf{r} d\tau \left\{K_1\phi^*\partial_\tau\phi + K_2|\partial_\tau\phi|^2 + \mathcal{E}[\phi^*, \phi]\right\} \tag{6}$$

and (by setting $b = 1$)

$$\mathcal{E} = |\partial_x^2\phi|^2 + a|\partial_x\phi|^2 + \mathcal{T} + r|\phi|^2 + \alpha|\phi|^4 + \beta|\phi\partial_x\phi|^2, \tag{7}$$

where ϕ is the order parameter, $\mathcal{T} = |\partial_y\phi|^2$ for $d = 2$ and $\mathcal{T} = |\partial_y\phi|^2 + |\partial_z\phi|^2$ for $d = 3$, and the parameter α is positive for repulsive interactions. In the microscopic model discussed above, parameter β can be either positive or negative. Here for simplicity, we consider the case with positive β. The parameter a can be controlled by tuning the single particle dispersion, which is proportional to $f - f_c^0$ from discussion above. The parameter $r \sim g - g_c$ can be tuned by changing interaction strength g that drives superfluid to Mott insulator transition.

Assuming $\phi = |\phi|e^{ik_x x}$, we can rewrite $\mathcal{E}$ as

$$\mathcal{E}(|\phi|, k_x) = (k_x^4 + ak_x^2)|\phi|^2 + r|\phi|^2 + (\alpha + \beta k_x^2)|\phi|^4. \tag{8}$$

The ground state is determined by $\partial\mathcal{E}/\partial k_x = 0$ and $\partial\mathcal{E}/\partial|\phi| = 0$, which gives rise to three different phases: $\phi = 0$ as Mott phase; $\phi \neq 0$ and $k_x = 0$ as normal SF phase; and $\phi \neq 0$ and $k_x \neq 0$ as Z_2 SF phase. The phase diagram is given in Fig. 4 in terms of f and g. All three phases meet at a tricritical point at $a = r = 0$, around which the interaction effect is the strongest. Hereafter we concern about the critical exponent nearby the quantum tricritical point. Our discussion can be divided into two cases:

Case **A.** No particle-hole symmetry. $K_1 \neq 0$ and K_2-term becomes irrelevant [12]. In this case, it is straightforward to show that the scaling dimension of

ϕ is $\dim[\phi] = -(2d+7)/4$ and the critical dimension is 5/2 [10]. For a physical system with $d = 2$, the scaling dimensions of r, a and α are $\dim[r] = 2$, $\dim[a] = 1$ and $\dim[\alpha] = 1/2$, respectively, and all these three terms are relevant. This is different from conventional Bose Hubbard model with quadratic dispersion, where $\dim[\alpha] = 0$ and the α-term is marginal in two-dimension. The scaling dimension of β is $\dim[\beta] = -1/2$, and the β-term is irrelevant. That means, in this case, although the momentum-dependent interaction plays an important role at mean-field level to shift the critical value, it does not play significant role for fluctuations beyond mean-field.

In this case, the one-loop renormalizaiton group (RG) equations are derived as [10]

$$\frac{da}{dl} = a; \quad \frac{dr}{dl} = 2r; \\ \frac{d\alpha}{dl} = \epsilon\alpha - \frac{\alpha^2}{1+r} I_2(a); \tag{9}$$

where $\epsilon = 5/2 - d = 1/2$, I_2 is a function of a defined in supplementary material [10]. In addition to the Gaussion fixed point at $(a, r, \alpha) = (0, 0, 0)$, these RG equations give another non-Gaussion fixed point at $(a, r, \alpha) = (0, 0, \epsilon/I_2(0))$. The flow diagram is shown in Fig. 5(a). However, since in this case r does not receive any correction from interaction, the critical exponent of superfluid transition still remains as its mean-field value $\nu = 1/2$, as in usual Bose gas case [13, 14].

Case **B.** Particle-hole symmetry. $K_1 = 0$ [12]. In this case, the scaling dimension of ϕ is $\dim[\phi] = -(5+2d)/4$ and the critical dimension is 7/2. In this case, for a system in two-dimension, $\epsilon = 7/2 - d = 3/2$. Since $\epsilon > 1$ it is not accurate to treat the system by means of perturbative expansion [15]. For a system with $d = 3$, $\epsilon = 1/2$, and the scaling dimensions of different terms are $\dim[r] = 2$, $\dim[a] = 1$, $\dim[\alpha] = 1/2$ and $\dim[\beta] = -1/2$, respectively. These are all identical to the case A. However, in this case, the one-loop RG equations read

$$\frac{da}{dl} = a; \quad \frac{dr}{dl} = 2r + \frac{2\alpha I_3(a)}{\sqrt{1+r}}; \\ \frac{d\alpha}{dl} = \epsilon\alpha - \frac{5 I_3(a)\alpha^2}{2\sqrt{(1+r)^3}}; \tag{10}$$

where $\epsilon = 7/2 - d = 1/2$ and $I_3(a)$ is also defined in the supplementary material [10]. The key difference is that the r-term now receives correction from interaction. The new non-Gaussian fixed point is located at $(a, r, \alpha) = (0, -2\epsilon/5, 2\epsilon/(5I_3(0)))$, and the flow diagram is shown in Fig. 5(b). More importantly, the critical exponent of superfluid transition $\nu = 1/(2 - 2\epsilon/5) = 5/9$ is now different from the mean-field value [10]. This is also different from conventional bosons with k^2 dispersion with $K_1 = 0$, which belongs to the class of $O(2)$ rotor model. In this sense, it represents a new type of critical behavior.



FIG. 5: Renormalization group flow diagram of case **A** (no particle-hole symmetry) (a) and case **B** (particle-hole symmetry) (b).

We note that in both cases we have $\epsilon = 1/2$, which is because the quartic dispersion gives rise to a fractional critical dimension. Whereas in many systems where the critical dimension is usually an integer, ϵ is at least equal to one for a physical system below critical dimension. Thus, ϵ-expansion is expected to work more accurately in our cases. Previously, for conventional Bose Hubbard model the critical exponent $\nu = 1/2$ has been measured with *in-situ* density measurements [16]. In the system of shaking lattice, one can tune the interaction to the vicinity of Mott transition, tune the chemical potential to the particle-hole symmetric point, and tune the band dispersion by shaking to the vicinity of Ising transition. Thus, case **B** can be realized and with the same *in-situ* method, this new critical phenomenon predicted here can be experimentally verified.

Acknowledgment: We thank Xiaoliang Qi, Fa Wang and Hong Yao for helpful discussions. This work is supported by Tsinghua University Initiative Scientific Research Program(HZ), NSFC Grant No. 11004118 (HZ) and No. 11174176(HZ), and NKBRSFC under Grant No. 2011CB921500(HZ), NSF MRSEC (DMR-0820054)(CC), NSF Grant No. PHY- 0747907 (CC) and ARO-MURI No. 63834-PH-MUR (CC).

* Electronic address: hzhai@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

[1] Y.-J. Lin, K. Jiménez-García, and I. B. Spielman, Nature **471**, 83 (2011).
[2] J. Y. Zhang, S. C. Ji, L. Zhang, Z. D. Du, W. Zheng, Y. J. Deng, H. Zhai, S. Chen, J. W. Pan, arXiv: 1305.7054
[3] M. Aidelsburger, M. Atala, S. Nascimbène, S. Trotzky, Y.-A. Chen, and I. Bloch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 255301 (2011).
[4] J. Struck, C. Ölschläger, R. Le Targat, P. Soltan-Panahi, A. Eckardt, M. Lewenstein, P. Windpassinger, and K. Sengstock, Science **333**, 996 (2011).
[5] C. V. Parker, L. C. Ha, and C. Chin, Nature Phys. **9**, 769 (2013).
[6] N. Gemelke, E. Sarajlic, Y. Bidel, S. Hong, and S. Chu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 170404 (2005)
[7] H. Lignier, C. Sias, D. Ciampini, Y. Singh, A. Zen-

esini, O. Morsch, and E. Arimondo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 220403 (2007)
[8] We also verified numerically that qualitatively our results are not changed by including these processes and higher bands.
[9] This is different from the situation where degenerate single minima are generated by spin-orbit coupling. In that case there exists regime where interactions favor a superposition state exhibiting spatial stripe order due to spin-dependent interactions, see C. Wang, C. Gao, C.-M. Jian, and H. Zhai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 160403 (2010) and T.-L. Ho and S. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 150403 (2011).
[10] See supplementary material for detailed derivation of mean-field interaction energy, counting of scaling dimension and the derivation of renormalization group equations.
[11] Y. Li, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 225301 (2012).
[12] S. Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transition, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press (2011), Chapter 9
[13] D. I. Uzunov, Phys. Lett. **87**A, 11 (1981)
[14] M. P. A. Fisher, P. B. Weichman, G. Grinstein, D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **40** 546 (1989)
[15] Though it is not accurate, if one still applies the perturbation expansion to two-dimension case, it will predict a critical exponent $\nu = 1/(2-2\epsilon/5) = 5/7$ different from the mean-field value.
[16] X. Zhang, C. L. Hung, S. K Tung, C. Chin, Science, **335**, 1070 (2012)

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

The band structure and the interaction energy in the shaking lattice

By keeping only $n = 0, \pm 1$ terms, the single-particle Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) can be separated into two parts: $H(t) = H_0 + V(t)$,

$$H_0 = \frac{\hat{k}_x^2}{2m} + VJ_0(f)\cos^2(k_0 x), \tag{11}$$

$$V(t) = -VJ_1(f)\sin(2k_0 x)\cos(\omega t). \tag{12}$$

First part is the time-independent part, which gives a static band structure as

$$H_0 = \sum_{\lambda,k_x} \varepsilon_\lambda(k_x) |\varphi_{\lambda,k_x}\rangle \langle\varphi_{\lambda,k_x}|, \tag{13}$$

where $|\varphi_{\lambda,k_x}\rangle$ is the Bloch function, λ is the band index and k_x is the quasi-momentum. Expanding $V(t)$ in the Bloch basis, one obtains

$$V(t) = -VJ_1(f)\cos(\omega t) \sum_{\lambda'\lambda,k'_x k_x} \left|\varphi_{\lambda',k'_x}\right\rangle \left\langle\varphi_{\lambda',k'_x}\right| \sin(2k_0 x) |\varphi_{\lambda,k_x}\rangle \langle\varphi_{\lambda,k_x}|. \tag{14}$$

For the momentum transferred by $V(t)$ is $2k_0$, which is just the reciprocal lattice vector, one can prove that the matrix elements of $V(t)$ is propotianal to $\delta_{k'_x k_x}$. So the Hamiltonian can be simplified into:

$$\begin{aligned} H(t) &= \sum_{k_x} \left[\varepsilon_s(k_x) |\varphi_{s,k_x}\rangle \langle\varphi_{s,k_x}| + \varepsilon_p(k_x) |\varphi_{p,k_x}\rangle \langle\varphi_{p,k_x}|\right] \\ &\quad -VJ_1(f)\cos(\omega t) \sum_{k_x} |\varphi_{p,k_x}\rangle \langle\varphi_{p,k_x}| \sin(2k_0 x) |\varphi_{s,k_x}\rangle \langle\varphi_{s,k_x}| + h.c. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

One notes that Hamiltonian is diagonal in the momentum space, $H(t) = \sum_{k_x} H(k_x, t)$. And $H(k_x, t)$ can be rewritten into a matrix form

$$H(k_x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_p(k_x) & 2\Omega_{k_x}\cos(\omega t) \\ 2\Omega^*_{k_x}\cos(\omega t) & \varepsilon_s(k_x) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{16}$$

where $\Omega_{k_x} = -\frac{1}{2}VJ_1(f)\langle\varphi_{p,k}|\sin(2k_0 x)|\varphi_{s,k}\rangle$. Making a unitary transformation,

$$U(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\omega t} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

one obtains the Hamiltonian in the rotational frame,

$$H'\left(k_x,t\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\varepsilon_p\left(k_x\right) & \Omega_{k_x}\left(1+e^{2i\omega t}\right)\\ \Omega_{k_x}^*\left(1+e^{-2i\omega t}\right) & \varepsilon_s\left(k_x\right)+\omega\end{array}\right).$$

Omitting the high frequency oscillation terms (this is so-called rotational wave approximation), one obtains the time-independent Hamiltonian,

$$H'\left(k_x\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\varepsilon_p\left(k\right) & \Omega_k\\ \Omega_k^* & \varepsilon_s\left(k\right)+\omega\end{array}\right).$$

Diagoanlizing $H'\left(k_x\right)$, one obtains the energy bands as

$$\epsilon_{\pm}\left(k_x\right)=A_{k_x}/2\pm\sqrt{\Delta_{k_x}^2/4+\left|\Omega_{k_x}\right|^2}, \tag{18}$$

where $A_{k_x}=\varepsilon_p\left(k_x\right)+\varepsilon_s\left(k_x\right)+\omega$, $\Delta_{k_x}=\varepsilon_p\left(k_x\right)-\varepsilon_s\left(k_x\right)-\omega$. The correspoding two eigen wave functions are

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{+,k_x}\left(x\right) &= c_{+,s}\left(k_x\right)\varphi_{s,k_x}\left(x\right)+c_{+,p}\left(k_x\right)\varphi_{p,k_x}\left(x\right), &(19)\\ \varphi_{-,k_x}\left(x\right) &= c_{-,s}\left(k_x\right)\varphi_{s,k_x}\left(x\right)+c_{-,p}\left(k_x\right)\varphi_{p,k_x}\left(x\right), &(20)\end{aligned}$$

where $c_{\pm,\lambda}\left(k_x\right)$ is the combination coefficient obtained from the Diagoanlization of $H'\left(k_x\right)$. Transforming the wave funtions back to the laboratorial frame, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{+,k_x}\left(x,t\right) &= e^{-i\omega t}c_{+,s}\left(k_x\right)\varphi_{s,k_x}\left(x\right)+c_{+,p}\left(k_x\right)\varphi_{p,k_x}\left(x\right), &(21)\\ \varphi_{-,k_x}\left(x,t\right) &= e^{-i\omega t}c_{-,s}\left(k_x\right)\varphi_{s,k_x}\left(x\right)+c_{-,p}\left(k_x\right)\varphi_{p,k_x}\left(x\right). &(22)\end{aligned}$$

Considering the Bose condensation in the upper band, the time-average interaction energy is a funtion of condensate quasi-momentum,

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_{\mathrm{int}}\left(k_x\right) &= \frac{1}{T}g\int_0^T dt\int dx\left|\varphi_{+,k_x}\left(x,t\right)\right|^4\\ &= U_{k_x}^{ss}\left|c_{+,s}\left(k_x\right)\right|^4+4U_{k_x}^{sp}\left|c_{+,s}\left(k_x\right)\right|^2\left|c_{+,s}\left(k_x\right)\right|^2+U_{k_x}^{pp}\left|c_{+,p}\left(k_x\right)\right|^4\end{aligned}$$

where $U_{k_x}^{\lambda'\lambda}=g\int dx\left|\varphi_{\lambda',k_x}\left(x\right)\right|^2\left|\varphi_{\lambda,k_x}\left(x\right)\right|^2$, g is the interaction constant, λ' and λ denote s or p. $|c_{+,\mathrm{s}}\left(k_x\right)|^2$ and $|c_{+,\mathrm{p}}\left(k_x\right)|^2$ are denoted by n_{s,k_x} and n_{p,k_x} in Eq. 5 of the main text , respectively.

The mean-field study of the low energy effective theory

A low energy effective theory that describes both superfluid and Ising transitions can be constructed as Eq. (6)-(8) in the main text. In the momentum space the energy density can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}=(k_c^4+ak_c^2)|\phi|^2+r|\phi|^2+(\alpha+\beta k_c^2)|\phi|^4, \tag{23}$$

where we ignore the k_y and k_z dependent terms since the energy minima would be always located at $k_y=k_z=0$. The ground state is determined by minimizing the energy density as the following:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial\mathcal{E}}{\partial k_c}=0\Rightarrow[(2k_c^2+a)+\beta|\phi|^2]k_c|\phi|^2=0,\\ &\frac{\partial\mathcal{E}}{\partial|\phi|}=0\Rightarrow[(k_c^4+ak_c^2+r)+2(\alpha+\beta k_c^2)|\phi|^2]|\phi|=0.\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

We study the energy minimum in four regions of the parameter space, where α and β are always positive.

1. In the region $a>0$ and $r>0$ neither of equations $(2k_c^2+a)+\beta|\phi|^2=0$ and $(k_c^4+ak_c^2+r)+2(\alpha+\beta k_c^2)|\phi|^2=0$ has solutions. The energy minimum is at $|\phi|=0$.

2. In the region $a>0$ and $r<0$ equation $(2k_c^2+a)+\beta|\phi|^2=0$ doesn't have any solution. Then we take $k_c=0$ and solve equation $(k_c^4+ak_c^2+r)+2(\alpha+\beta k_c^2)|\phi|^2=0$. The energy minimum is located at $k_c=0$, $|\phi|=-\frac{r}{2\alpha}$.

3. In the region $a < 0$ and $r > 0$ both equations $(2k_c^2 + a) + \beta|\phi|^2 = 0$ and $(k_c^4 + ak_c^2 + r) + 2(\alpha + \beta k_c^2)|\phi|^2 = 0$ can have solutions, which are

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi|^2 &= -\frac{2k_c^2 + a}{\beta}, \\ |\phi|^2 &= -\frac{k_c^4 + ak_c^2 + r}{2(\alpha + \beta k_c^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Then k_c^2 can be solved from the equation

$$-\frac{2k_c^2 + a}{\beta} = -\frac{k_c^4 + ak_c^2 + r}{2(\alpha + \beta k_c^2)}. \tag{26}$$

The above equation has two roots as

$$k_c^2 = \frac{-(4r\alpha + a\beta) \pm \sqrt{(4r\alpha + a\beta)^2 - 12\beta(2a\alpha - \beta r)}}{6\beta}. \tag{27}$$

Here we ignore the negative root since $k_c^2 > 0$.

To guarantee that the solution of Eq. (5) is valid two restriction conditions should be satisfied as the following:

(a) We have

$$-\frac{2k_c^2 + a}{\beta} > 0 \tag{28}$$

since $|\phi|^2 > 0$ in the Eq. (3).

(b) We have

$$-(4r\alpha + a\beta) + \sqrt{(4r\alpha + a\beta)^2 - 12\beta(2a\alpha - \beta r)} > 0 \tag{29}$$

since $k_c^2 > 0$ in the Eq. (5).

In the region $a < 0$ and $r > 0$ it's straight forward to check that the condition (b) is always satisfied, while the condition (a) generate an upper bound. We can obtain this boundary by plugging the root of $k_c^2 = \frac{-(4r\alpha+a\beta)+\sqrt{(4r\alpha+a\beta)^2-12\beta(2a\alpha-\beta r)}}{6\beta}$ into the condition (a). Then the upper bound is

$$r < \frac{a^2}{4}. \tag{30}$$

Below the boundary of Eq. (8) we have the energy minimum at

$$\begin{aligned} k_c^2 &= \frac{-(4r\alpha + a\beta) + \sqrt{(4r\alpha + a\beta)^2 - 12\beta(2a\alpha - \beta r)}}{6\beta}, \\ |\phi|^2 &= \frac{-4r\alpha + 2a\beta + \sqrt{(4r\alpha + a\beta)^2 - 12\beta(2a\alpha - \beta r)}}{3\beta^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

4. In the region $a < 0$ and $r < 0$ the energy minimum can be also located as Eq. (9). Here the restriction condition (b) generates a lower bound as

$$r > \frac{2\alpha}{\beta}a. \tag{32}$$

Renormalization group analysis

The system without particle-hole symmetry

In the system without particle-hole symmetry we have $K_1 \neq 0$ in Eq. (7) of the main text. The term of K_2 becomes irrelevant and can be ignored. Then the partition function in d-dimensions is cast as

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int D[\phi^*, \phi] e^{-S[\phi^*,\phi]}, \tag{33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S[\phi^*,\phi] = \quad & \int d^d\vec{x}d\tau \Big\{ \phi^*(\vec{x},\tau)\partial_\tau\phi(\vec{x},\tau) + |\partial_x^2\phi(\vec{x},\tau)|^2 + a|\partial_x\phi(\vec{x},\tau)|^2 + \mathcal{T} \\ & + r|\phi(\vec{x},\tau)|^2 + \alpha|\phi(\vec{x},\tau)|^4 + \beta|\phi(\vec{x},\tau)\partial_x\phi(\vec{x},\tau)|^2 \Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where $\mathcal{T} = |\partial_y\phi|^2$ for $d = 2$ and $\mathcal{T} = |\partial_y\phi|^2 + |\partial_z\phi|^2$ for $d = 3$.

We Fourier transform $\phi(\vec{x},\tau)$ as

$$\phi(\vec{x},\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^dk}{(2\pi)^2} \phi(\omega,\vec{k}) e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\omega\tau)}. \tag{35}$$

Then the action can be written in the momentum space as

$$\begin{aligned} S = \quad & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^dk}{(2\pi)^2} \phi^*(\omega,\vec{k})(-i\omega + k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + \mathcal{T}_k + r)\phi(\omega,\vec{k}) \\ & + \int_{\omega k}^{\Lambda} \Big\{ (\alpha + \beta k_{3x}k_{1x})\phi_i^*(\omega_4,\vec{k}_4)\phi_i^*(\omega_3,\vec{k}_3)\phi_i(\omega_2,\vec{k}_2)\phi_i(\omega_1,\vec{k}_1) \Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_k = k_y^2$ for $d = 2$ and $\mathcal{T} = k_y^2 + k_z^2$ for $d = 3$. Here we used a short-handed notation $\int_{\omega k}^{\Lambda} = \prod_{i=1}^4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega_i}{2\pi} \int_0^{\Lambda} \frac{d^dk_i}{(2\pi)^2} (2\pi)^2 \delta(\vec{k}_4 + \vec{k}_3 - \vec{k}_2 - \vec{k}_1) \cdot (2\pi)\delta(\omega_4 + \omega_3 - \omega_2 - \omega_1)$.

Following the Wilson's approach the renormalization group transformation involves three steps: (i) integrating out all momenta between Λ/s and Λ, for tree level analysis just discarding the part of the action in this momentum-shell; (ii) rescaling frequencies and the momenta as $(\omega, k_x, k_y) \to (s^{[\omega]}\omega, s^{[k_x]}k_x, sk_y)$ so that the cutoff in k is once again at $\pm\Lambda$; and finally (iii) rescaling fields $\phi \to s^{[\phi]}\phi$ to keep the free-field action S_0 invariant.

After we integrate out a thin momentum shell of high energy mode the limit of k_y changes from $[0,\Lambda]$ to $[0,\Lambda/s]$ and the limit of k_x changes from $[0,\Lambda]$ to $[0,\Lambda/\sqrt{s}]$, where $s \gtrapprox 1$. In order to compare the action with the original one we need to rescale the coordinate as

$$k_x' = \sqrt{s}k_x, \quad k_y' = sk_y. \tag{37}$$

Hence, the cutoff in k_x and k_y are back again at Λ. Here we give a definition to the scaling dimension. If a quantity scales as

$$A'^{[A]}A, \tag{38}$$

we call $[A]$ the scaling dimension of A. In this manner the scaling dimensions of momentum k_x and k_y are

$$[k_x] = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad [k_y] = 1. \tag{39}$$

A straight forward scaling analysis shows that the scaling dimensions of the parameters are

$$\begin{aligned} & [\omega] = 2, \quad [a] = 1, \quad [r] = 2, \\ & [\phi] = -\frac{7+2d}{4}, \quad [\alpha] = \frac{5}{2} - d, \quad [\beta] = \frac{3}{2} - d. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

We see that upper critical dimension is 5/2.

For a $d = 2$ system the scaling dimension of β is $-\frac{1}{2}$, which is irrelevant. Therefore, we ignore the β term in the following calculations. The one-loop correction to the parameter a is fully generated by the β term. Since the β term is ignored we don't have the one-loop correction to the parameter a. Then the flow equation of a just includes the tree-level scaling as

$$\frac{da}{d\ell} = a. \tag{41}$$

The one-loop corrections to r and α are presented in Fig. 1.



FIG. 6: The one-loop Feynman graphs contributing to the renormalization of (a) the parameter r, (b) the parameter α in systems without particle-hole symmetry.

By integrating out the momentum shell we get the one-loop correction to r as

$$4\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \int_{\text{shell}} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{-i\omega + k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + r}. \tag{42}$$

The integration over the Matsubara frequency ω can be calculated by performing a contour integration.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{1}{-i\omega + k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + r} \\ = & \int_C \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \frac{e^{z0^+}}{-z + k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + r} \\ = & -\theta(-(k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + r)), \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where $z = i\omega$ and contour C is over the left plane. We start our RG flow from the Gaussian fixed point, where $a = 0, r = 0$. Then the θ function $\theta(-(k_x^4 + k_y^2))$ vanish since $k_x^4 + k_y^2 > 0$. The one-loop correction to the parameter r is zero. Then the flow equation of r just includes a tree-level scaling term as

$$\frac{dr}{d\ell} = 2r. \tag{44}$$

The one-loop correction to the parameter α is

$$-2\alpha^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \int_{\text{shell}} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{-i\omega + k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + r} \cdot \frac{1}{i\omega + k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + r}. \tag{45}$$

The integration over the Matsubara frequency ω can be done by performing a contour integration.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{1}{-i\omega + k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + r} \cdot \frac{1}{i\omega + k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + r} \\ = & \frac{1}{2(k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + r)}. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

The integration over the momentum is as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\text{shell}} \frac{dk_x dk_y}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{2(k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + r)} \\ = & \int_{\text{shell}} \frac{kdkd\theta}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{2(k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + r)}. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

k_x scales as $k_x' = \sqrt{s}k_x = e^{\frac{1}{2}\ell}k_x$, then $dk_x = \frac{1}{2}k_x d\ell = \frac{1}{2}k\cos\theta d\ell$. In the same manner we have $dk_y = k\sin\theta d\ell$. Then $dk = \sqrt{(dk_x)^2 + (dk_y)^2} = k\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\cos^2\theta + \sin^2\theta}d\ell$. The cutoff is set as $k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 = \Lambda^2$. k^2 can be solved as $k^2 = \frac{-(\sin^2\theta + a\cos^2\theta) + \sqrt{(\sin^2\theta + a\cos^2\theta)^2 + 4\Lambda^2\cos^4\theta}}{2\cos^4\theta}$. In the following calculations we will conveniently set $\Lambda = 1$ and henceforth measure all lengths in units of Λ^{-1}. The integration becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\text{shell}} \frac{kdkd\theta}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{2(k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + r)} \\ = & \frac{d\ell}{2(1+r)} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{-(\sin^2\theta + a\cos^2\theta) + \sqrt{(\sin^2\theta + a\cos^2\theta)^2 + 4\cos^4\theta}}{2\cos^4\theta} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\cos^2\theta + \sin^2\theta} \\ = & \frac{d\ell}{2(1+r)} \cdot I_2(a), \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where the function $I_2(a)$ is defined as

$$I_2(a) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{-(\sin^2\theta + a\cos^2\theta) + \sqrt{(\sin^2\theta + a\cos^2\theta)^2 + 4\cos^4\theta}}{2\cos^4\theta} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\cos^2\theta + \sin^2\theta}. \tag{49}$$

After the third step of rescaling in the renormalization group transformation the flow equation of α is calculated as

$$\frac{d\alpha}{d\ell} = \epsilon\alpha - \frac{\alpha^2}{1+r} \cdot I_2(a), \tag{50}$$

where $\epsilon = \frac{5}{2} - d$.

Thus, we have all the flow equations as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{da}{d\ell} &= a, \\ \frac{dr}{d\ell} &= 2r, \\ \frac{d\alpha}{d\ell} &= \epsilon\alpha - \frac{\alpha^2}{1+r} \cdot I_2(a). \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

There are two fixed points. One is the Gaussian fixed point $(a, r, \alpha) = (0, 0, 0)$, the other one the Gaussian-like fixed point$(a^*, r^*, \alpha^*) = (0, 0, \frac{\epsilon}{I_2(0)})$. Now we study the structure of the the flows near the new fixed point. Defining $a = a^* + \delta a$, $r = r^* + \delta r$ and $\alpha = \alpha^* + \delta\alpha$ yields the linearized flow equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\delta a}{d\ell} &= \delta a, \\ \frac{d\delta r}{d\ell} &= 2\delta r, \\ \frac{d\delta\alpha}{d\ell} &= -\epsilon\delta\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Then the scaling exponent of r is $y_r = 2$. If we use $\delta = |r - r_c|$ to define the distance to the critical point, the correlation length should scales as $\xi \sim \delta^{-\nu}$. The scaling analysis shows that $\nu = 1/y_r = 1/2$.

The system with particle-hole symmetry

In the system with particle-hole symmetry the K_1 term vanishes in the Eq. (7) of the main text. Then the partition function in d-dimensions is written as

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int D[\phi^*, \phi] e^{-S[\phi^*, \phi]}, \tag{53}$$

where

$$S[\phi^*, \phi] = \int d^d\vec{x}d\tau \Big\{ |\partial_\tau\phi(\vec{x}, \tau)|^2 + |\partial_x^2\phi(\vec{x}, \tau)|^2 + a|\partial_x\phi(\vec{x}, \tau)|^2 + \mathcal{T}$$

$$+r|\phi(\vec{x},\tau)|^2+\alpha|\phi(\vec{x},\tau)|^4+\beta|\phi(\vec{x},\tau)\partial_x\phi(\vec{x},\tau)|^2\Big\}. \tag{54}$$

A straight forward scaling analysis shows that the scaling dimensions of the parameters are

$$\begin{aligned}
&[k_x]=\frac{1}{2}, \quad [k_y]=1,\\
&[\omega]=1, \quad [a]=1, \quad [r]=2,\\
&[\phi]=-\frac{5+2d}{4}, \quad [\alpha]=\frac{7}{2}-d, \quad [\beta]=\frac{5}{2}-d.
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

The upper critical dimension is $\frac{7}{2}$. In two dimensions $[\alpha]=\frac{3}{2}$ and $[\beta]=\frac{1}{2}$. Both of them are relevant. In three dimensions $[\alpha]=\frac{1}{2}$ is relevant and $[\beta]=-\frac{1}{2}$ is irrelevant.

Here we consider the $d=3$ system. In this case the β term is irrelevant, which will be ignored in our consideration. Then parameter a received no corrections at one-loop level. The flow equation of a is

$$\frac{da}{d\ell}=a. \tag{56}$$

The Feynman graphs contributing to parameters r and α are shown in Fig. 2.



FIG. 7: The one-loop Feynman graphs contributing to the renormalization of (a) the parameter r, (b) the parameter α in systems with particle-hole symmetry.

The one-loop correction to r is given as

$$4\alpha\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\int_{\text{shell}}\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{\omega^2+k_x^4+ak_x^2+k_y^2+k_z^2+r}. \tag{57}$$

The integration over the Matsubara frequency ω can be calculated by performing a contour integration.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\omega^2+k_x^4+ak_x^2+k_y^2+k_z^2+r}\\
=\;&\int_C\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\frac{1}{\Big(-z+\sqrt{k_x^4+ak_x^2+k_y^2+k_z^2+r}\Big)\Big(z+\sqrt{k_x^4+ak_x^2+k_y^2+k_z^2+r}\Big)}\\
=\;&\frac{1}{2\sqrt{k_x^4+ak_x^2+k_y^2+k_z^2+r}},
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where $z=i\omega$ and contour C is over the left plane. Analogous to the procedure in Eq. (25)-(28) the momentum shell integration can be performed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\text{shell}}\frac{dk_xdk_ydk_z}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{2\sqrt{k_x^4+ak_x^2+k_y^2+k_z^2+r}}\\
=\;&\frac{d\ell}{2\sqrt{1+r}}\cdot I_3(a),
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

where the function I_3 is defined as

$$I_3(a)=\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\theta}{(2\pi)^2}\left(\frac{-(\sin^2\theta+a\cos^2\theta)+\sqrt{(\sin^2\theta+a\cos^2\theta)^2+4\cos^4\theta}}{2\cos^4\theta}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\cdot\sin\theta\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\cos^2\theta+\sin^2\theta}. \tag{60}$$

Then we have the flow equation of r as

$$\frac{dr}{d\ell} = 2r + \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha}} \cdot I_3(a). \tag{61}$$

The one-loop correction to the parameter α is

$$-10\alpha^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \int_{\text{shell}} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(\omega^2 + k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 + r)^2}. \tag{62}$$

The integration over the Matsubara frequency ω can be done by performing a contour integration.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{1}{(\omega^2 + k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 + r)^2} \\ = & \int_C \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{\Big(- z + \sqrt{k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 + r}\Big)^2 \Big(z + \sqrt{k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 + r}\Big)^2} \\ = & \frac{1}{4(k_x^4 + ak_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 + r)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Then it's straight forward to obtain the flow equation of α as

$$\frac{d\alpha}{d\ell} = \epsilon\alpha - \frac{5}{2} \frac{I_3(a)}{(1+r)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \alpha^2. \tag{64}$$

Then all the flow equations are as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{da}{d\ell} &= a, \\ \frac{dr}{d\ell} &= 2r + \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{1+r}} \cdot I_3(a), \\ \frac{d\alpha}{d\ell} &= \epsilon\alpha - \frac{5}{2} \frac{\alpha^2}{(1+r)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \cdot I_3(a). \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Then we have a new fixed point at $(r^*, \alpha^*, a^*) = (-\frac{2}{5}\epsilon, \frac{2}{5I_3(0)}\epsilon, 0)$. Around this fixed point we define $r = r^* + \delta r$, $\alpha = \alpha^* + \delta\alpha$, $a = a^* + \delta a$ and have the linearized equations,

$$\frac{d}{d\ell} \begin{pmatrix} \delta r \\ \delta\alpha \\ \delta a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \frac{2}{5}\epsilon & 2I_3(0) & \frac{4}{5I_3(0)} \frac{\partial I_3(a)}{\partial a}|_{a=0} \\ 0 & -\epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta r \\ \delta\alpha \\ \delta a \end{pmatrix}. \tag{66}$$

The eigenvalues are $2 - \frac{2}{5}\epsilon$, $-\epsilon$, and 1. In three dimensions the correlation length exponent is $\nu = \frac{1}{2 - \frac{2}{5}\epsilon} = \frac{5}{9}$.